arXiv:1512.02020v1 [math-ph] 7 Dec 2015

Comment on "Fokker-Planck equations for nonlinear dynamical systems driven by non-Gaussian Lévy processes" [J. Math. Phys. 53, 072701 (2012)]

Marcin Magdziarz* and Tomasz Zorawik†

Hugo Steinhaus Center, Faculty of Pure and Applied Mathematics,

Wroclaw University of Technology, ul. Wyspianskiego 27, 50-370 Wroclaw, Poland

(Dated: December 8, 2015)

Abstract

In an article [J. Math. Phys. 53, 072701 (2012)] X. Sun and J. Duan presented Fokker-Planck equations for nonlinear stochastic differential equations with non-Gaussian Lévy processes. In this comment we show a serious drawback in the derivation of their main result. In the proof of Theorem 1 in the aforementioned paper, a false assumption that each infinitely differentiable function with compact support is equal to its Taylor series, is used. We prove that although the derivation is incorrect, the result remains valid only if we add certain additional assumptions.

* marcin.magdziarz@pwr.edu.pl

† tomasz.zorawik@pwr.edu.pl

X. Sun and J. Duan analyzed in [1] the following Itô stochastic differential equation:

$$dX_t = f(X_t, t)dt + \sigma(X_{t-}, t)dL_t, \quad X_s = x, \tag{1}$$

where L_t is a Lévy process with Lévy triplet (b, A, ν), f - drift and σ - noise intensity. Under the assumption that f and σ satisfy Lipschitz and growth conditions the authors proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1 [1]: *The Fokker-Planck equation for the Itô SDE (1) is*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial p(y,t;x,s)}{\partial t} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\rho(y,t)p(y,t;x,s)) + \frac{1}{2}A\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}(\sigma^2(y,t)p(y,t;x,s)) \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-z)^k}{k!}\frac{\partial^k}{\partial y^k}(\sigma^k(y,t)p(y,t;x,s)) + I_{(-1,1)}(z)z\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\sigma(y,t)p(y,t;x,s))\right]\nu(dz),\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $\rho(x,t) = f(x,t) + b\sigma(x,t)$ *and* $I_{(-1,1)}(x)$ *is the indicator function of the set* $(-1,1)$. However, there is a serious error in the derivation of this result, which makes the whole proof wrong. X. Sun and J. Duan used the Taylor expansion to obtain in Eq. (30) in [1] that

$$\phi(x + y\sigma(x,t)) = \phi(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{y^k}{k!}\sigma^k(x,t)\frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k}\phi(x) \tag{3}$$

for $\phi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ - the space of smooth functions with compact supports. The problem with this equation is that the only $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ which is equal to its Taylor series is the constant function $f \equiv 0$ - see Corollary 1.2.5 in [2]. This error has serious consequences in the further reasoning in [1]. In the proof it was necessary to find an adjoint operator of the following operator (Eq. (27) in [1])

$$A_{2t}\phi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}}\left[\phi(x + y\sigma(x,t)) - \phi(x) - I_{(-1,1)}(y)y\sigma(x,t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi(x)\right]\nu(dy), \tag{4}$$

where $\phi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. X. Sun and J. Duan in Eq. (32) in [1] claimed that the operator A_{2t} can be represented as

$$A_{2t}\phi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{y^k}{k!}\sigma^k(x,t)\frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k}\phi(x) - I_{(-1,1)}(y)y\sigma(x,t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi(x)\right]\nu(dy) \tag{5}$$

However, this is not always true. Below we present a counterexample. Let us take $\nu = \delta_1$ - Dirac delta concentrated at $z = 1$. This Lévy measure corresponds to Poisson process $L(t)$

with the rate $\lambda = 1$ (see [3]). We also take $\sigma(x,t) = -x$ which obviously satisfies Lipschitz and growth conditions. Then Eq. (4) gives us

$$A_{2t}\phi(x) = \phi(0) - \phi(x), \tag{6}$$

whereas Eq. (5) now has the form

$$A_{2t}\phi(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^k}{k!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k}\phi(x). \tag{7}$$

These two forms are not equivalent. For instance, if we set $\phi(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{1-x^2}\right) 1_{(-1,1)}(x)$ which satisfies $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ then $A_{2t}\phi(x)$ given by the second form vanishes outside the interval $(-1,1)$, whereas the first one does not.

One can try to correct this error by changing the space of the test functions. Instead of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ one can take analytic functions which, together with all their derivatives, decay fast enough at infinity. For instance one can take the functions of the form $\phi(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{(x-a)^2}{b}\right)$, where $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^+$. For these functions one can use their Taylor expansions. However, it is still necessary to justify one of the transformations in Eq. (34) in [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} \sigma^k(y,t) \frac{\partial^k}{\partial y^k}\phi(y) - I_{(-1,1)}(z) z \sigma(y,t) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\phi(y) \right] p(y,t;x,s)dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-z)^k}{k!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial y^k}(\sigma^k(y,t)p(y,t;x,s)) + I_{(-1,1)}(z) z \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\sigma(y,t)p(y,t;x,s)) \right] \phi(y)dy \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} \sigma^k(y,t) \frac{\partial^k}{\partial y^k}\phi(y) - I_{(-1,1)}(z) z \sigma(y,t) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\phi(y) \right] p(y,t;x,s)dy \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{z^k}{k!} \sigma^k(y,t) \frac{\partial^k}{\partial y^k}\phi(y) - I_{(-1,1)}(z) z \sigma(y,t) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\phi(y) \right] p(y,t;x,s)dy \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{(-z)^k}{k!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial y^k}(\sigma^k(y,t)p(y,t;x,s)) + I_{(-1,1)}(z) z \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\sigma(y,t)p(y,t;x,s)) \right] \phi(y)dy. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Now, we want to interchange the integral with the sum, but this is not always possible. The series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-z)^k}{k!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial y^k}(\sigma^k(y,t)p(y,t;x,s)) \tag{10}$$

can diverge. One way of dealing with this problem is to add an assumption to Theorem 1 that the interchange of the integral and the sum in Eq. (9) is allowed. Another possible

solution is to assume that $\sigma(x,t) = \sigma(t)$ and $p(y,t;x,s)$ satisfies $\left|\frac{\partial^k}{\partial y^k} p(y,t;x,s)\right| < MC^k$ where $M > 0$ and $C > 0$. In such situation the interchange of operators is possible based on the Dominated convergence theorem, see for example [4].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was partially supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of Poland program Iuventus Plus no. IP2014 027073.

---

[1] Xu Sun, Jinqiao Duan, "Fokker-Planck equations for nonlinear dynamical systems driven by non-Gaussian Lévy processes", J. Math. Phys. 53, 072701 (2012).

[2] Krantz, Steven G, Parks, Harold R., "A Primer of Real Analytic Functions" 2 ed., Birkhuser Advanced Texts Basler Lehrbcher, Birkhuser Basel (2012).

[3] Applebaum D.: Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004).

[4] Marcin Magdziarz, Tomasz Zorawik, "Stochastic representation of a fractional subdiffusion equation. The case of infinitely divisible waiting times, Lévy noise and space-time-dependent coefficients", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/proc/12856 (2015).